

1 Stephen D. Finestone (125675)  
2 Ryan A. Witthans (301432)  
3 FINESTONE HAYES LLP  
4 456 Montgomery Street, Floor 20  
5 San Francisco, CA 94104  
6 Tel. (415) 421-2624  
7 Fax (415) 398-2820  
8 Email: [sfinestone@fhlawllp.com](mailto:sfinestone@fhlawllp.com)  
9 Email: [rwitthans@fhlawllp.com](mailto:rwitthans@fhlawllp.com)

10 Attorneys for debtor  
11 Bernadette Francine Cattaneo

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN JOSE DIVISION**

In re  
Bernadette Francine Cattaneo,  
Debtor.

Case No. 16-52233

Chapter 7

**MOTION TO AVOID JUDICIAL LIENS  
OF HELEN MCABEE AND  
INVESTMENT RETRIEVERS ON 841  
CALAIS CIRCLE, HOLLISTER, CA<sup>1</sup>**

Hearing

Date: April 18, 2019  
Time: 10:30 a.m.  
Place: 280 South First Street  
Courtroom 3020  
San Jose, CA 95113

Bernadette Francine Cattaneo (the “Debtor”) submits this motion (the “Motion”) to avoid the judicial liens of Helen McAbee (“McAbee”) and Investment Retrievers (“IR”) on property commonly known as 841 Calais Circle, Hollister, CA (the “Calais Property”). The Debtor

---

<sup>1</sup> Unless specified otherwise, all chapter and code references are to the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101–1532. “Bankruptcy Rule” references are to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and “B.L.R.” references are to the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the Northern District of California. “ECF” references are to the docket in the above-captioned proceeding  
MOTION TO AVOID JUDICIAL LIENS ON 841 CALAIS CIRCLE

1 requests that the Court enter an order pursuant to § 522(f) to avoid the judicial liens of McAbee  
2 and IR against the Calais Property.

3 This Motion is supported by the concurrently filed Declaration of Bernadette Francine  
4 Cattaneo in Support of Motion to Avoid Judicial Liens of Helen McAbee and Investment  
5 Retrievers on 841 Calais Circle, Hollister, CA (the “Cattaneo Decl.”), the documents on file in  
6 the above-captioned bankruptcy case, and any evidence and arguments that may be presented at  
7 hearing.

8 **I. FACTUAL BACKGROUNDS**

9 The Debtor commenced this by filing a voluntary Chapter 7 petition on August 3, 2016  
10 (the “Petition Date”). ECF 1. She received a discharge on January 13, 2017. ECF 18. This case  
11 was closed on January 17, 2017, and was reopened on December 26, 2018.<sup>2</sup>

12 The Debtor owns the Calais Property, which is a single-family home. Cattaneo Decl. ¶ 2.  
13 The Debtor claims a \$10,000 exemption in the Calais Property. *Id.* at ¶ 3; ECF 27. No objection  
14 to the Debtor’s claim of exemption has been filed and the time for such objection has passed.  
15 Cattaneo Decl. at ¶ 3; *see* Bankruptcy Rule 4003(b)(1).

16 The Calais Property secures a claim held by Seterus in the amount of \$54,900 that was  
17 incurred in May 1990 and a claim by Union Bank in the amount of \$67,300 that was incurred in  
18 March 2003. Cattaneo Decl. at ¶ 4. Together, these secured claims total \$122,200. *Id.*

19 Helen McAbee (“McAbee”) holds a judicial lien secured by the Calais Property in the  
20 amount of \$626,557. *Id.* at ¶ 5. The debt was incurred in or about May 2011 and an abstract of  
21 judgment against the Debtor and her ex-husband Andreas Abramson was recorded in San Benito  
22 County on May 27, 2011, as instrument number 2011-0004885. *Id.* An amended abstract of  
23

24 \_\_\_\_\_  
25 <sup>2</sup> At the time she filed her bankruptcy case, the Debtor held no interest in real property  
26 commonly known as 83 Sanguinetti Court, Copperopolis, CA, 95228 (the “Sanguinetti  
27 Property”), pursuant to a decision by a family law court that awarded the entire Sanguinetti  
Property to her ex-husband, Andreas Abramson. The Debtor now asserts to the family law court  
that she should be awarded an interest in the Sanguinetti Property. Based on that assertion, the  
Debtor moved to reopen this bankruptcy case, ECF 20, which the Court granted, ECF 21. The  
Debtor then filed Amended Schedules A/B, C, and D that reflect her asserted ownership interest  
in the Sanguinetti Property. ECF 27.

1 judgment in the same amount was recorded in San Benito County on June 27, 2013, as  
2 instrument number 2013-0006643. *Id.*

3 Investment Retrievers holds a judicial lien secured by the Calais Property in the amount  
4 of \$123,190.21. *Id.* at ¶ 6. The debt was incurred in or about May 2013 and an abstract of  
5 judgment against the Debtor was recorded in San Benito County on July 29, 2016, as instrument  
6 number 2016-0007941. *Id.*

7 In the Debtor's opinion, the Calais Property's value as of the Petition Date was \$410,000.  
8 Cattaneo Decl. ¶ 7; *see also* ECF 1, 27.

9 **II. LEGAL ARGUMENT**

10 Section 522(f)(1)(A) provides that a debtor may avoid the fixing of a judicial lien on an  
11 interest of the debtor in property to the extent that such lien impairs an exemption of the debtor.  
12 Pursuant to § 522(f)(2)(A), a lien impairs an exemption to the extent that the sum of the subject  
13 lien, all other liens on the property, and the amount the debtor could claim as an exemption if  
14 there were no liens against the property, together exceed the value of the debtor's interest in the  
15 property absent any liens. In the case of a property subject to more than one lien, a lien that has  
16 been avoided shall not be considered in making the calculation pursuant to § 522(f)(2)(A) with  
17 respect to the other liens. § 522(f)(2)(B).

18 The order of lien avoidance matters—"judicial liens are avoided in reverse order until the  
19 marginal lien, i.e. the junior lien supported in part by equity, is reached." *In re Meyer*, 373 B.R.  
20 84, 88 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2007). As such, these calculations are applied to the later-recorded and  
21 lower-priority IR judgment lien first, and then the earlier-recorded and higher-priority McAbee  
22 judgment lien.

23 A. Complete avoidance of IR judgment lien

24 Following the formula prescribed by § 522(f), the Debtor asserts the resulting  
25 calculations describing the treatment of the IR judgment lien.

26 //

27 //

28 //

1	IR judgment lien	\$123,190.21
2	<i>Plus</i> , the sum of all other liens	+\$748,777
2	<i>Plus</i> , the exemption without liens	+\$10,000
3	Subtotal	<u>\$881,967.21</u>
4	<i>Less</i> , the value of the property	-\$410,000
4	<i>Equals</i> , the extent of impairment	<b>\$471,967.21</b>

5 Based on these calculations, the IR judgment lien impairs the Debtor's exemption in the  
 6 amount of \$471,967.21. Because the extent of impairment exceeds the value of IR judgment lien,  
 7 the entire IR lien may be avoided pursuant to § 522(f).

8       B. Partial avoidance of McAbee judgment lien

9 Following the formula prescribed by § 522(f), the Debtor asserts the resulting  
 10 calculations describing the treatment of the McAbee judgment lien. Because the IR lien may be  
 11 avoided as described above, it is not considered in the following calculations.

12	McAbee judgment lien	\$626,577
13	<i>Plus</i> , the sum of all other liens	+\$122,200
13	<i>Plus</i> , the exemption without liens	+\$10,000
14	Subtotal	<u>\$758,777</u>
15	<i>Less</i> , the value of the property	-\$410,000
15	<i>Equals</i> , the extent of impairment	<b>\$348,777</b>

16 Based on these calculations, the McAbee judgment lien impairs the Debtor's exemption  
 17 in the amount of \$348,777. The McAbee judgment lien may be avoided to the extent that it  
 18 impairs the exemption. Subtracting the \$348,777 impairment from the \$626,577 McAbee lien  
 19 leaves \$277,800 remaining in the McAbee lien.

20       **III. CONCLUSION**

21       For the reasons stated above, the Debtor respectfully requests that the Court enter an  
 22 order that:

- 23       1. Avoids the entire judgment lien of Investment Retrievers, which was recorded in San  
        Benito County on July 29, 2016, as instrument number 2016-0007941,
- 24       2. Partially avoids the judgment liens of Helen McAbee that were (1) recorded in San  
        Benito County on June 27, 2013 as instrument number 2013-0006643; and (2)  
        recorded in San Benito County on May 27, 2011, as instrument number 2011-  
        0004885; reducing them to the amount of \$277,800.

1           3. Grants such further relief that the Court deems proper in this case.

2

3 DATED: March 4, 2019

4

5 FINESTONE HAYES LLP

6

7           \_\_\_\_\_  
8           /s/ Stephen D. Finestone  
9           Stephen D. Finestone  
10          Attorney for debtor Bernadette Francine  
11          Cattaneo